

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. **EDCV 15-9302-JAK (KKx)**

Date: September 27, 2016

Title: ***Arsen Nalbandyan, et al. v. CitiBank N.A., et al.***Present: The Honorable **KENLY KIYA KATO, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE****DEB TAYLOR**

Deputy Clerk

Not Reported

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

None Present

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

Proceedings: Order re: Stipulated Protective Order [Dkt. 32]

The parties' proposed Stipulation and Protective Order has been referred by the District Judge to the Magistrate Judge for consideration. ECF Docket ("Dkt.") 32. The parties are advised that the Court declines to issue the proposed protective order to which they have stipulated for the following reasons:

1. While the Court is willing to enter a protective order in accordance with the parties' stipulation in order to facilitate the conduct of discovery, the Court is unwilling to include in the protective order any provisions relating to evidence presented at trial or other court hearings or proceedings. **Any use of Protected Material at trial or other court hearings or proceedings shall be governed by the orders of the trial judge.** The stipulation should, thus, include language to make this explicit.

2. The stipulation, including ¶ 11, needs to be revised to make clear that any motion challenging a party's designation of material as Confidential or Attorney's Eyes Only Material or seeking to modify or amend the proposed Protective Order (a) may be brought at any time *that is consistent with the Court's Scheduling Order*, and (b) must be brought in strict compliance with Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2 (including the Joint Stipulation requirement).

3. Proposed ¶ 9 needs to be revised to make clear a Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Protected Material may only be

filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue. If a Party's request to file Protected Material under seal is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the information in the public record unless otherwise instructed by the court.

4. The Protective Order shall unequivocally state that nothing in the protective order shall be construed as authorizing a party to disobey a lawful subpoena or court order issued in another action.

5. The signature block for the judge in the proposed Order needs to be changed to the signature block for the Magistrate Judge.

The parties are further directed to the Court's sample stipulated protective order located on the Court's website for a sample of the format of an approved stipulated protective order. ***The parties are strongly encouraged to use the language contained in the approved stipulated protective order.***

cc: United States District Judge John A. Kronstadt